Effect Of Preoperative Nutrition Modulation On Postoperative Complications And Short -Term Outcome In Major Abdominal Cancer Surgery : An Immunological View
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Abstract:

Background: There has been a positive correlation between malnutrition and increased risk of infections. Additionally, protein caloric malnutrition occurs in up to 90% of surgical oncology patients. Malnutrition along with surgical stress predisposes patients to significant postoperative complications and immune depressions. Therefore, strategies have been implemented to circumvent malnutrition such as avoiding preoperative fasting, re-establishing oral feeding as soon as possible and maintaining good glycemic and metabolic control.

Objective: We hypothesised that perioperative use of various biochemical agents such as non-essential (glutamine, arginine) or sulfur-containing amino acids, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, nucleotides and antioxidants (free radical scavengers), may alter the immune response and could improve postoperative outcome in gastrointestinal cancer patients undergoing surgeries.

Patients & Methods: This study was done after obtaining approval of the local ethics committee of faculty of medicine, Assiut University. This randomized double–blinded prospective study was conducted on thirty patients. The patients were divided into two groups: Group 1: (n=15) patients received preoperative I.V solutions as a control group and also received total parenteral nutrition immediately postoperative for 5 days. Group 2: (n=15) patients received 5 days preoperative (SMOF lipid) 500ml/day in a peripheral venous line and also received total parenteral nutrition immediately postoperative in a central venous line as group (1) for 5 days. The effect of immunonutrition on the two groups was evaluated according to the WBC level, and the incidence of postoperative complications (surgical site infection, fistula, chest infection), and hospital length of stay.

Results: There were non significant difference between the study groups in WBC level in the prenutritional, preoperative, postoperative day 1, but there were a significant difference in the postoperative day 3 samples, and in the postoperative day 5 samples. There were significant difference between the two groups in the incidence of surgical site infection, and hospital stay postoperative. There were non significant difference between the two groups in the incidence of the fistula and chest infection postoperative.

Conclusion: Preoperative immunonutrition support is effective in reducing postoperative complications in cancer patients. It helps to lower the risk of postoperative infectious complications and hospital length of stay.

Key Words: Preoperative immunonutrition, cancer patients, total parenteral nutrition.
Introduction:

Malnutrition is a common finding in patients presenting for surgical management of abdominal malignancies, with an estimated prevalence in this group of 40% to 80% (14). A complex mix of factors, such as tumor location, tumor type, stage of disease, and preoperative radiation and/or chemotherapy treatments, might predispose patients to malnutrition. Nausea, vomiting, reduced appetite, early satiety, taste changes, diarrhea, pain, mucositis, physical obstruction and malabsorption could result in weight loss, which in turn is a strong prognostic indicator of poor outcome in terms of survival and response to treatment (1). The further catabolic stress of major surgery increases the risk of cancer-related malnutrition, which correlates with increased rates of perioperative morbidity and mortality (2).

Patients who are malnourished are more likely to suffer from higher rates of morbidity and mortality as well as longer hospital stays (3).

Several studies have attempted to identify optimal nutrition strategies in such patients. It is widely accepted practice that patients undergoing elective surgery with >10% weight loss or expected fasting more than a week postoperatively should receive artificial nutrition (4).

Postoperative nutrition supplementation has been shown to have a beneficial effect on surgical outcomes (5).

Reasons purported for this practice include reducing the risk of postoperative abdominal distension, nausea, vomiting, and subsequent concerns regarding anastomotic breakdown, wound dehiscence and pulmonary aspiration (6).

Malnutrition can suppress immune function, reduce host defense and increase incidence of infection (7). Malnutrition decreases both cell-mediated and humoral immune responses, which are restored with re-feeding (8). In fact, immune abnormalities in the majority with malnutrition could be reversed after adequate nutrition (9).

The present study aimed to:

A) Detect the effect of preoperative immunonutrition on CBC; 5 days preoperative (prenutritional sample), before the operation (immediately preoperative), on postoperative day 1 (POD1), POD3, and POD5.

B) Postoperative infections: surgical site infection, intra-abdominal or pelvic infection or abscess, anastomotic leakage (fistula) in the prenutritional, preoperative, postoperative day 1, POD3, and POD5.

Patients and methods:

After obtaining an approval from the local ethics committee of faculty of medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt, and patients' written informed consent, this randomized double-blind prospective study was conducted on thirty patients aged from 18 to 70 years with primary abdominal cancer, and of American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) physical status III or IV scheduled for major abdominal cancer surgeries.

Patients older than 70 years and younger than 18 years, metastatic cancer or

According to a computer-generated randomization number table, patients were assigned to two groups of 15 patients each:

Group 1: patients received preoperative 1L solutions as a control group and also received total parenteral nutrition (TPN) immediately postoperative for 5 days, the TPN regimen consisted of carbohydrate (glucose 25%), protein (Amino sterile), trace elements and glutamine and fat (SMOF lipid) containing soya bean oil, medium chain triglyceride, olive oil and fish oil rich in omega-3 fatty acids in a central venous catheter which will be inserted intraoperatively.

Group 2: patients received 5 days preoperative (SMOF lipid) 500ml/day in a peripheral venous line and also received total parenteral nutrition immediately postoperative in a central venous line as group (1) for 5 days.

Postoperative caloric requirements were calculated by Harris–Benedict Equation. This equation is used to predict the basal energy expenditure (BEE) which is the basal metabolic rate in the resting and fasting states in a thermo-neutral environment, which is corrected by considering both activity and stress factors. This equation depends on the age, sex, height, and ideal body weight.

-Male's BEE (kcal/day) = 66.5 + (13.75 x body weight in Kg) + (5.003 x height in cm) – (6.775 x age in years).
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- Female's BEE (kcal/day) = 655.1 + (9.563x body weight in Kg) + (1.850x height in cm) – (4.676x age in years).
- TEE (Total Energy Expenditure) (kcal/day) = BEE x Stress factor x Activity factor.
- Stress factors: (Surgery and infection 1.2), (Trauma 1.5), (Sepsis 1.6), (Burns 1.6 – 2).
- Activity factors: (sedentary 1.2), (Normal activity 1.3), (Active 1.4), (Very active 1.5).
- Protein requirements per day: in the range of 1.5 – 2.5 g/kg.
- (Post-operative, cancer, inflammatory 1.5 g/kg), (Sepsis, Polytrauma 2 g/kg), (Peritonitis, burns 2.5g/kg).
- The patient's immune response assessment was according to the level of WBCs, the rate of postoperative infection (surgical site infection, fistula, and chest infection), and the hospital length of stay.
- All the patients were provided routine perioperative care with antibiotic prophylaxis, multimodal analgesia, deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis, and early mobilization. Recurrent, or with organ failure (liver or renal insufficiency), presence of ongoing infections, patients suffered from inflammatory bowel diseases, and patients with a history of recent immunosuppressive or immunologic disease will be excluded from the study.

**Statistical analysis:**

Data entry and analysis were done using SPSS version 20 (Statistical Package for Social Science). Data were presented as number, percentage, mean ± standard deviation. Chi-square test was used to compare between qualitative variables. Mann-Whitney test was used to compare quantitative variables between the studied groups. Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was done to compare between each two times in the same group. P-value considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. Sample size was calculated using EPI info program 2000. At power 80% and confidence interval 95%, 15 patients were required in each group.

**Discussion:**

The main cause of cancer death is tumor recurrence and metastasis that occur even after removal of a primary tumor by surgery. Cancer patients are immunosuppressed as a result of their own disease, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, malnutrition, and psychological stress, which all induce apoptosis of immune cells. Therefore, cancer patient’s show depressed immune functions historically, there has been a positive correlation between malnutrition and increased risk of infections. Additionally, protein caloric malnutrition occurs in up to 90% of surgical oncology patients. Malnutrition along with surgical stress predisposes patients to significant postoperative complications and immune depression (11,12).

Therefore, strategies have been implemented to circumvent malnutrition such as avoiding preoperative fasting, re-establishing oral feeding as soon as possible and maintaining good glycemic and metabolic control. Furthermore, research is now demonstrating the importance of additional perioperative nutritional support through the use of enteral and parenteral feeds in high-risk patients.

The immunonutrients are glutenine, arginine, and polyunsaturated fatty acids (omega-3), among others, which can improve the immunity and nutrition effectively. They can modulate inflammatory responses and enhance protein synthesis and then increase immune responses. It has been proved that the perioperative application of immunonutrition is an effective and more prevailing therapeutic strategy (13).

In this study there were significant difference (P<0.05) between the two studied groups in the incidence of surgical site infection and length of hospital stay. This agree with Rowan and his collaborators who concluded that perioperative immunonutrition may lead to significant reductions of postoperative complications. Silvestri et al. compared the clinical characteristics of patients after pancreaticoduodenectomy between immunonutrition group and control group and found that immunonutrition helps to lower the risk of postoperative infectious complications (14).

Although some studies showed no significant difference with hospital stay in immunonutrition group, different outcome was observed in our study. We attribute these results to the choice of the subjects. Moya and his team studied the effect of immunonutrition on the patients under colorectal resection in an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol and drew a conclusion that the average postoperative hospital stay was not significantly different between the two groups. Their median postoperative hospital stay, which was only 5 days, maybe interfered by the applications of ERAS (15).
Conclusion:
Preoperative immunonutrition support is effective in reducing postoperative complications in cancer patients. It helps to lower the risk of postoperative infectious complications and hospital length of stay.
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In the present study were non significance difference in WBC (P>0.05) between two groups .At pre-nutritional, preoperative, at day1 and day3. But there were significance difference (P<0.05) at day5 with lower values in IN group. This agree with Yanzhong Xin et al., reported that the inflammatory markers including WBC count were decreased in the IN group of patients with severe intracranial hemorrhage during the acute stage.
Calder reported that omega 3 (ω-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω-3 PUFAs), as a main component of the immune nutrients, ensured the maintenance of membrane fluidity and the sufficient function of membrane proteins. Its dysbolism maybe leads to many human diseases including immune disorders or cancers (17).

Table (1): Patients demographic and clinical data in the two studied groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>G1&quot;n=15&quot;</th>
<th>G2&quot;n=15&quot;</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- Age</td>
<td>55.26±6.89</td>
<td>57.06±5.07</td>
<td>P=0.422 n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- Sex:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Male</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>7 (23.3%)</td>
<td>P=0.642 n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- Weight</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4- Height</td>
<td>70.60±6.73</td>
<td>69.46±9.17</td>
<td>P=0.703 n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5- BMI</td>
<td>166.07±6.43</td>
<td>166.8±7.26</td>
<td>P=0.772 n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6- Operation time “hours”</td>
<td>25.59±1.89</td>
<td>24.85±1.46</td>
<td>P=0.242 n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7- Blood loss “ml”</td>
<td>4.06±0.66</td>
<td>3.96±0.76</td>
<td>P=0.701 n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>560.00±80.62</td>
<td>556.67±0.97</td>
<td>P=0.920 n.s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented on mean (±SD), number, and percentages. P < 0.05.

Fig (1): Changes in the mean WBC count with time in the two studied groups
Fig (2): Changes in the mean Hg level with time in the two studied groups.

Fig (3): Changes in the mean Platlet count with time in the two studied groups.

Table (2): Postoperative side effects and hospital length of stay in the two studied groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>G1&quot;n=15&quot;</th>
<th>G2&quot;n=15&quot;</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1- Surgical site infection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5(33.3%)</td>
<td>2(13.3%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- Fistula</td>
<td>10(66.7%)</td>
<td>13(86.7%)</td>
<td>P=0.299n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3(20.0%)</td>
<td>1(6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3- Chest infection:</td>
<td>12(80.0%)</td>
<td>14(93.3%)</td>
<td>P=0.326n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>4(26.7%)</td>
<td>2(13.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4- Hospital length of stay (days)</td>
<td>13.53±7.13</td>
<td>10.93±4.23</td>
<td>P&lt;0.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean (±SD), number and percentage. p<0.05.